

(q, h) -analogue of Newton's binomial formula

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1999 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 2037

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/32/10/019>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.105

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 07:26

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

ADDENDUM

(q, h)-analogue of Newton’s binomial formula

H B Benaoum†

Institut für Physik, Theoretische Elementarteilchenphysik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität,
55099 Mainz, Germany

Received 26 October 1998

Abstract. In this addendum, the (q, h) -analogue of Newton’s binomial formula is obtained in the (q, h) -deformed quantum plane which reduces for $h = 0$ to the q -analogue. For $(q = 1, h = 0)$, this is just the usual one as it should be. Moreover, the h -analogue is recovered for $q = 1$. Some properties of the (q, h) -binomial coefficients are also given. This result will contribute to an introduction of the (q, h) -analogue of the well known functions, (q, h) -special functions and (q, h) -deformed analysis.

The q -analysis is an extension of the ordinary analysis, by the addition of an extra parameter q . When q tends towards one the usual analysis is recovered. Such q -analysis appeared in the literature a long time ago ‡. In particular, a q -analogue of Newton’s binomial formula, well known functions like q -exponential, q -logarithm, . . . etc, the special functions arena’s q -differentiation and q -integration have been introduced and studied intensively.

In [2], the h -analogue of Newton’s binomial formula was introduced leading, therefore, to a new analysis, called h -analysis. In this addendum, I will go a step further by generalizing the work [2]. Indeed, an analogue of Newton’s binomial formula is introduced here in the (q, h) -deformed quantum plane (i.e. (q, h) Newton’s binomial formula which generalizes Schützenberger’s formula [3] with an extra parameter h) leading, therefore, to a more generalized analysis, called (q, h) -analysis. With this generalization, the q -analysis, h -analysis and ordinary analysis are recovered respectively by taking $h = 0, q = 1$ and $(q = 1, h = 0)$.

Newton’s binomial formula is defined as follows:

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} y^k x^{n-k} \tag{1}$$

where $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ and it is understood here that the coordinate variables x and y commute, i.e. $xy = yx$. A q -analogue of (1), for the q -commuting coordinates x and y satisfying $xy = qyx$, first appeared in literature in Schützenberger [3], see also Cigler [4],

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q y^k x^{n-k} \tag{2}$$

where the q -binomial coefficient is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n - k]_q!}$$

† E-mail address: benaoum@thep.physik.uni-mainz.de

‡ For historical details see [1]

with

$$[j]_q = \frac{1 - q^j}{1 - q}.$$

The h -analogue has been introduced and defined in [2] as follows:

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_h y^k x^{n-k} \quad (3)$$

provided that x and y satisfy to $[x, y] = hy^2$ and the h -binomial coefficient $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_h$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_h = \binom{n}{k} h^k (h^{-1})_k \quad (4)$$

where $(a)_k = \Gamma(a + k) / \Gamma(a)$ is the shifted factorial.

Now consider Manin's q -plane $x'y' = qy'x'$. By the following linear transformation (see [5] and references therein):

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{h}{q-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

Manin's q -plane changes to

$$xy = qyx + hy^2. \quad (5)$$

Even though the linear transformation is singular for $q = 1$, the resulting quantum plane is well-defined.

Proposition 1. *Let x and y be coordinate variables satisfying (5), then the following identities are true:*

$$\begin{aligned} x^k y &= \sum_{r=0}^k \frac{[k]_q!}{[k-r]_q!} q^{k-r} h^r y^{r+1} x^{k-r} \\ xy^k &= q^k y^k x + h [k]_q y^{k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

These identities are easily proved by successive use of (5).

Proposition 2 ((q, h)-binomial formula). *Let x and y be coordinate variables satisfying (5), then we have*

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} y^k x^{n-k} \quad (7)$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)}$ are the (q, h) -binomial coefficients given as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q h^k (h^{-1})_{[k]} \quad (8)$$

with $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} = 1$ and

$$(a)_{[k]} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (a + [j]_q) \quad (9)$$

since by definition $[0]_q = 0$.

Proof. This proposition will be proved by recurrence. Indeed for $n = 1, 2$, it is verified. Suppose now that the formula is true for $n - 1$, which means

$$(x + y)^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} y^k x^{n-1-k}$$

with $\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} = 1$. To show this for n , let us first consider the following expansion:

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} y^k x^{n-k}$$

where $C_{n,k}$ are coefficients depending on q and h . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y)^n &= (x + y)(x + y)^{n-1} = (x + y) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} y^k x^{n-1-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} x y^k x^{n-1-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} y^{k+1} x^{n-1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the result of the first proposition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y)^n &= \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} q^k y^k x^{n-k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} (1 + h[k]_q) y^{k+1} x^{n-1-k} + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} y x^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

which yields, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,0} &= \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} = 1 \\ C_{n,1} &= q \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} \\ C_{n,k} &= q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} + (1 + h[k-1]_q) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} \\ C_{n,n} &= (1 + h[n-1]_q) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Moreover, the (q, h) -binomial coefficients obey the following properties $1 < k < n$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} + (1 + h[k-1]_q) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)} = (1 + h[k]_q) \frac{[n+1]_q}{[k+1]_q} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{(q,h)}. \tag{11}$$

In fact, these properties follow from the well known relations of the q -binomial coefficients:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n+1]_q}{[k]_q} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q$$

upon using $(a)_{[k]} = (a + [k-1]_q)(a)_{[k-1]}$.

Now, we make the following remarks. For $h = 0$ this is just the q -binomial formula as it should be. For $q = 1$, it reduces to the h -analogue Newton's binomial formula (3) and (4) and for $(q = 1, h = 0)$ the usual one is recovered.

To conclude, we have obtained a more general Newton's binomial formula in the (q, h) -deformed quantum plane which reduces to the known one at some limits. This will therefore lead to a more generalized analysis called (q, h) -analysis.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the DAAD for its financial support and the referee for his remarks.

References

- [1] Exton H 1983 *q-Hypergeometric Functions and Applications* (Chichester: Ellis Horwood)
- [2] Benaoum H B 1998 h -analogue of Newton's binomial formula *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** L751
- [3] Schützenberger M P 1953 Une interprétation de certaines solutions de l'équation fonctionnelle: $F(x+y) = F(x)F(y)$ *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **236** 352
- [4] Cigler J 1979 Operatormethoden für q -Identitäten *Monatsh. Math.* **88** 87
- [5] Cho S, Madore J and Park K S 1998 Noncommutative geometry of the h -deformed quantum plane *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 2639